

RatingsDirect®

Massachusetts State College Building Authority; Miscellaneous Tax; School State Program

Primary Credit Analyst:

David G Hitchcock, New York (1) 212-438-2022; david.hitchcock@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Eden P Perry, New York (1) 212-438-0613; eden.perry@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

State Intercept Mechanism

Related Criteria And Research

Massachusetts State College Building Authority; Miscellaneous Tax; School State Program

Credit Profile

US\$181.33mil proj rfdg rev bnds ser 2016 A due 05/01/2049

<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Negative	New
<i>Underlying Rating for Credit Program</i>	A+/Stable	New

Massachusetts St Coll Bldg Auth

<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Negative	Affirmed
<i>Underlying Rating for Credit Program</i>	A+/Stable	Current

Massachusetts St Coll Bldg Auth rfdg rev bnds ser 2016 A due 05/01/2049

<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Negative	New Rating
<i>Underlying Rating for Credit Program</i>	A+/Stable	Current

Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services assigned its 'AA' rating and negative outlook to the Massachusetts State College Building Authority's (MSCBA) series 2016A project refunding revenue bonds, reflecting the credit enhancement provided by a statutory state aid intercept. At the same time, Standard & Poor's affirmed its 'AA' long-term intercept program rating on \$1.1 billion of the MSCBA's parity debt outstanding. The outlook is negative.

We base our 'AA' rating on the MSCBA on the Commonwealth of Massachusetts' credit profile (general obligation rating: AA+/Negative) as well as the state aid intercept structure, which supports the MSCBA's 2016A bonds and parity bonds.

Key credit factors supporting the 'AA' rating based on the statutory aid intercept include:

- A clearly defined, in our view, notification requirement to the commonwealth regarding sufficiency of funds to cover debt service well in advance of debt service payment dates;
- A requirement of the state comptroller to transfer funds to cover any identified insufficiency from state appropriations within five days; this transfer is not subject to allotment;
- What we consider strong debt service coverage (DSC) by state appropriations, with appropriations to all state universities available to fund debt service following statutory changes in 2011; and
- A strong history of state support over time.

We understand that the MSCBA will use the series 2016A bond proceeds to advance refund certain bonds outstanding.

The bonds are secured and payable in the first instance by project revenues (gross revenues from payments of fees, rents, rates, and other charges for the use and occupancy of any projects and for the services and facilities they provide). The payments from the state universities are paid to the trustee semi-annually, about a month after final room payments are due and at least a month before debt service on authority bonds is due. Further securing the bonds are multiple reserve funds, including a debt service reserve (DSR) fund, which is an aggregate reserve for all parity

bonds outstanding, and is likely to be eliminated following an expected change in the trust agreement on majority bondholder approval. Before this refunding, the aggregate DSR fund was estimated at \$87.7 million, slightly above-average annual net debt service of \$74.2 million, but below future maximum annual debt service (MADS) of \$101.2 million. In addition, each state university maintains a trust fund that is pledged in support of 25% of the average annual principal and interest due on bonds outstanding; the trust funds are pledged to secure only indebtedness incurred on behalf of the applicable state university. The flow of funds is closed and the remaining revenues after all bond funds are paid are retained in the revenue fund.

Although project revenues are pledged, we base our 'AA' rating on the strength of the state aid intercept structure. If project revenues are insufficient, state aid to the universities can be intercepted for debt service payments, although this intercept has never been used. Based on fiscal 2016 interceptable commonwealth appropriations to the state universities of \$240.11 million, we calculate strong 2.38x pro forma coverage of MADS under the intercept program.

The supplemental trust agreement for this new issue continues recent voted changes to bond provisions outstanding, although we do not consider them to materially weaken the credit quality of the proposed debt or parity debt outstanding. The first change is that the DSR fund will be eliminated for the new and parity debt outstanding once more than half of parity bondholders approve, which we expect to be accomplished over time as additional parity debt is issued and purchasing underwriters vote to approve the changes. Approximately 12% of bonds outstanding have been voted to approve the changes. We understand that once more than 50% of bondholders approve the elimination of the DSR (through the issuance of new debt, including refunding debt, or agreements with existing bondholders), the MSCBA will pay down debt with existing reserves at that time. Due to the stable timing and coverage provided by the pledged revenues, and the proposed paydown of debt, we do not consider the DSR elimination to be a significant deterioration of credit quality. The second change is an amendment to the permitted investments that will allow funds to be invested in the Massachusetts Municipal Depository Trust (MMDT), which is an investment pool for Massachusetts state and local governments. The MMDT offers two investment choices: a cash portfolio and a short-term bond portfolio. We do not believe this investment change is material to the MSBA rating.

MSCBA finances, designs, constructs, and manages residential and student life facilities on the campuses of nine state universities. State university full-time equivalent enrollment stood at an estimated 41,812 students as of fall 2015. The residence hall design capacity has grown 67% since 2001 to 16,773 as of fall of 2015, and actual occupancy was 100.9% of design capacity. Occupancy has been above 100% of design capacity since fall 2003, except for a slight dip to 99.0% in 2014 and 97.5% in 2015. Rents and charges for each system project are legally available to defray costs related to all system projects, and the system project buildings have pooled capital improvement reserves. Rents at authority facilities remain competitive and below competitive market rents according to management, and the authority regularly conducts surveys of market rent rates near campuses. The MSCBA projects also include new construction and renovation of campus centers, as well as dining, athletic, and parking facilities, with the costs of these projects added to the student fees at the relevant schools. Rents and fees for both types of projects are legally available to defray costs related to all of the authority's projects. Each campus project has a separate, dedicated capital improvement reserve.

MSCBA management is stable, with no major changes and management updates its strategic plan every two years.

The emphasis of the plan has recently shifted from increasing capacity and eliminating housing facility deferred maintenance to identifying and supporting specific housing goals to support enrollment at each campus. The MSCBA has largely eliminated deferred maintenance and expects to move to funding repair costs from current year revenue, limiting future capital financing to new construction projects. The MSCBA has no variable-rate debt or derivatives exposure.

Outlook

The negative outlook reflects our current outlook on Massachusetts GO bonds and the strength of the intercept structure, as well as our expectation of more-than-sufficient state appropriations to cover debt service requirements over the two-year outlook horizon. If appropriations and coverage declined significantly, we could lower the rating. This state aid intercept-based rating will generally change in tandem with the GO rating on Massachusetts. A higher rating is precluded by our current rating on the commonwealth.

Our negative outlook on the state of Massachusetts' GO bonds reflects a projected decline in financial reserves in fiscal 2016 from currently adequate levels, despite a prolonged period of economic expansion and generally positive revenue trends, and which follows previous drawdowns that occurred in 2013 and 2014. The commonwealth has also suspended scheduled transfers of excess capital gains tax revenue to the budget stabilization fund. We had viewed this policy as a positive budget management tool that could mitigate potential future budget volatility. Reduction of reserves could contribute to a lower rating over the two-year outlook horizon if we feel that financial flexibility is impaired--especially in light of relatively high fixed costs related to debt and retirement funding. Should the commonwealth reverse the trend of reserve reductions, we could revise the outlook to stable.

State Intercept Mechanism

The state aid intercept has been in place since 1998 but in our view statutory changes have enhanced the timing, notification, and mechanics of the program. There is now a statutory requirement for the MSCBA to report to the trustees and the state comptroller on the sufficiency of available funds pledged by the colleges to pay debt service. This reporting must be done by Sept. 30 and Jan. 31, well in advance of the debt service payment dates scheduled for Nov. 1 and May 1. If the report is not received, the comptroller is directed to prevent any amounts from being expended from the appropriation account of any state college until the comptroller has determined if there is an insufficiency. Any identified insufficiency would require the comptroller to transfer funds from the appropriation account to cover debt service requirements. The statute was also amended to specify that any required transfers be disbursed, without further allotment, to the trustee under the trust agreement securing the bonds. The statute specifies that such amounts are to be transferred not later than the applicable date for debt service fund deposits required by the trust agreement.

Appropriations from the commonwealth are not transferred directly to the universities. They are held by the comptroller and used to fund payroll and fringe benefits of college employees, which we believe would make the intercept easier to accomplish. In Standard & Poor's opinion, there has been a high level of state support for the

colleges over time despite some decline through the recent recession. A statutory change in 2011 allowed state appropriations to all universities in the system to be available to cover a debt service insufficiency at any university. The aggregate state appropriations provide sound DSC. On average, the state colleges spend about half of their total state appropriations by the end of the first half of each fiscal year. There is no DSC requirement related to state appropriations, but Standard & Poor's believes that state appropriations relative to debt outstanding should continue to provide sound coverage.

Related Criteria And Research

Related Criteria

- USPF Criteria: State Credit Enhancement Programs, Nov. 13, 2008
- USPF Criteria: Assigning Issue Credit Ratings Of Operating Entities, May 20, 2015
- Criteria: Use of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009
- USPF Criteria: Non-Traditional Not-For-Profits, June 14, 2007

Ratings Detail (As Of February 10, 2016)		
Massachusetts St Coll Bldg Auth 2015A		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Negative	Affirmed
<i>Underlying Rating for Credit Program</i>	A+/Stable	Current
Massachusetts St Coll Bldg Auth State Enhancement		
<i>Underlying Rating for Credit Program</i>	A+/Stable	Current
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA(SPUR)/Negative	Affirmed
Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.		

Copyright © 2016 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgement as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.